Urgent need for digital health education as part of medical school curriculum, particularly since the increased health app use during the COVID-19 pandemic.
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**Abstract**

**Objective**

Thousands of health apps exist; the majority not reaching NHS quality standards. A sudden increase in health app downloads was seen during 2020 COVID-19 pandemic. Patients need direction towards quality, safe, health apps appropriate to their age, understanding, and health condition. Doctors need digitally literacy skills to identify apps, understand risks/benefits and maximise digital care potential particularly during 2021, COVID-recovery and extended waiting lists. The objective was to understand medical students digital health experience, personally, during clinical teaching and within their curriculum

**Methods**

32 medical students were surveyed using a remote questionnaire inquiring about their experience of health apps and digital health education.

**Results**

Personal experience : When assessing health apps for themselves, medical students mostly based opinions on recommendations by friends (76%) and the star-rating review (70%). A quarter of medical students had themselves been recommended an app by a healthcare professional.

Exposure to health apps being used in clinical care: Most students (66%) had not observed a doctor recommending any health apps to patients. 11 (34%)students had seen a variety of recommendations (covering cardiovascular disease, mental health, meditation, sleep, glue ear, pregnancy and diabetes) often from GP’s, but 90% students were unsure/did not know how or where to find trusted medical apps.

Digital health education: Despite little experience of digital health, students identified the importance of digital health to patients: 90% rating it a highly important topic.

**Conclusion**

Most medical students have not received any digital health education but consider it an important topic. Whilst a third had witnessed digital health use in clinical care they did not have additional supportive learning around how to access these solutions themselves once they qualified.

Digital health education urgently needs inclusion in the medical student curriculum in line with the ‘digital first’ agenda and NHS long-term-plan.

Background

There are some excellent, trusted, health apps which are CE marked as medical devices, approved for patient use, and supported with good research, but very few health care professionals find, recommend or ‘prescribe’ a health app1. During the 2020 COVID pandemic, many face-to-face or non-essential medical services were closed or reduced. Patients turned to health apps to self manage minor ailments, chronic conditions and predict or manage new conditions at home, as a “digital first” 2 option. Health apps are not regulated on App Stores, are of variable quality, are not medical devices, and risk being unsafe for patients. Apps are given star ratings on App stores and websites which reflect user feedback only, not safety, evidence, research results, nor medical recommendation. Patients need direction and guidance towards quality, safe health apps appropriate to their age, understanding, and health condition. Doctors need digitally literacy skills to endorse and identify apps, understand risks/benefits and maximise digital care potential particularly during ‘COVID-recovery’ when waiting lists are long. “Patient activism, such as #wearenotwaiting...exemplifies a growing trend in empowered patients demanding and taking greater control over their own care.”3

Digital health education is needed to help professionals use digital health tools . This study focuses on medical student education and whether students feel empowered to become doctors who can tackle the new digital health landscape and in line with government, NHS organisations and Royal College expectations. Guidance documents for medics, such as the NHS long term plan 2018, expects a ‘digital first’ approach2; The Wachter review 2016, noting “digitisation is an essential tool for meeting the needs of patients”4; The Topol report, 2019 explains “NHS organisations will need to develop a learning environment ...with emphasis on continuing support in this rapidly evolving field, including access to dynamic ‘just-in-time’ digital updates”3; The patient voice was captured in 2021 by the Royal College of Paediatrics P2040 report, where children asked doctors to “find new ways to explain things, give us choices and learn about new topics” 5.

“Teaching cannot be simply experiential and anecdotal”6 : Current medical students due to qualify into post pandemic NHS service need skills to navigate cost-effective digital health solutions, to manage care better outside hospitals, to help patients self manage minor ailments and to join up care effectively. Doctors of today need to know how to recommend safe and appropriate health apps to patients or redirect patients following inappropriate apps.

Aims

The aim of this pilot study was to understand medical students views on digital health both personally, their exposure during clinical attachments and exposure to digital health through their curriculum.

The 3 specific aims were :

1. To understand whether medical students were receiving digital health education as part of their training.
2. To understand what medical students thought was important about digital health
3. To understand how important it was to them to learn about digital health.

Methods

32 medical students attending a university paediatric society meeting on a Saturday, were invited to complete an anonymous survey using 8 questions on survey monkey. Students volunteered, no personal data was collected. Questions inquired about the students personal and professional experience of health apps. Questions covered

1. how the students assessed health apps for themselves;
2. whether they had experience of seeing a patient being recommended a health app;
3. whether they knew about the previous NHS app library or current ORCHA App Library;
4. whether the student themselves had ever been recommended an app by a health care professional;
5. whether they would have appreciated their university recommending a general health app;
6. whether they had received any digital health education in their student curriculum;
7. whether the student it was important to learn about digital health solutions;
8. whether medical students thought digital health was important.

Results

90% (29 students) were medical students based in Cambridge, 10% (3 students) were medical students attending London universities. All medical students were members of a paediatric society, aiming for a career in paediatrics.

When students were assessing health apps for themselves they used the following methods of assessing whether the app was good and reliable:

* Whether it had been recommended by a friend (76% of respondents)
* The star rating on the app review (70%)
* Try it out and see if it suits me (55%)
* Blurb at the beginning of an app (36%)
* Social media recommendation (21%)
* If recommended by a doctor or healthcare professional (6% , 2 students)
* Reading the written reviews rather than the star rating (3%)
* Recommendation from NHS England or medical school. (3%)

The majority of students 66% (21 out of 32) had not observed a doctor recommend a health app to a patient. Of the 11 (34%) students who had experienced this approach for patient management; 4 had experienced Headspace; Calm; mindfulness or meditation apps being recommended by a GP. 2 others had also witnessed mindfulness apps being recommended. One student only replied ‘yes’ they had observed an app being recommended, without giving further details. One student had witnessed the Hear Glue Ear app and a diabetes app being recommended. One student had witnessed Sleepio being recommended as well as a menstruation tracker. And one student had watched atrial fibrillation being tracked on a patients watch. One student had seen an app recommended for a pregnant patient’s care.

90% students were unsure or did not know about the previous NHS digital library7 or current ORCHA App Library8.

A quarter of medical students had themselves been recommended an app by a health care professional. Three-quarters had no experience of this.

Over half (60% which was 18 out of 30 students since 2 opted out of the question since they were not local to their university) would have liked their university to have recommended a health app specific to the students age group and giving information about local health services. An additional 30% answered ‘maybe’. Only 6% said no they would not like this and one student thought that their university had already recommended an app of some kind.

89% (25 out of 28 respondents) said they had not received any digital health education. One student said they had some experience with understanding digitisation of records and services as part of public health education, one said that there had been one discussion around the dangers of social media for doctors themselves. Lastly, one student noted that one particular weight loss app had been discussed once.

Despite having no or very little experience of digital health education, students were able to identify why digital health was important. The 3 most popular answers being: that it can empower patients to manage their condition when they leave the hospital or clinic; it can help patients make better informed choices; digital health can link home care with hospital care. (Additional answers in figure 1). This is similar to findings from doctors “93% of health professionals believe health apps can improve a patient’s health, the majority have not been trained in this field and so do not use digital health as part of routine practice”1

**Figure 1: What did medical students identify as being most important about digital health?**

Asked to rate how important they felt digital health education was to them, on a scale of 1 to 10, (where 1 is low importance and 10 is high importance) all students rated it’s importance as a 5 or above: with 90% rating it as high importance (scoring it between 7-10).

Discussion

A quarter of medical students had personal experience of being recommended an app by a health care professional and a third of students had experience of watching a doctor recommend an app, so whilst this proportion of students had experienced apps, the vast majority (90%) did not know where to find a trusted and recommended app and had not had any education about the NHS App Library7 (to be replaced over 2021/22 by NHSX with information on apps that pass the Digital Tech Assessment Criteria9 being highlighted within condition specific pages of [NHS.uk](http://NHS.uk)) or ORCHA App Library8 so would not know how to find a trusted app for a patient. Therefore, without guidance, students have established their own way of assessing apps themselves: using recommendations from friends and star rating (equating to user-experience rather than evidence-base) being the most popular ways to assess if an app is reliable. There is a danger that students are not taught how to safely recommend apps and may make mistakes as doctors about what and how they recommend apps, potentially falling into medico legal difficulties if clinical safety, data protection, usability, accessibility, or technical assurance is compromised.

Students were 4 months to 4 years away from qualifying as doctors where their responsibilities will include teaching students. There is a danger that their level of teaching juniors around digital health solutions would be experiential and anecdotal, further perpetuating the problem. “Within 20 years, 90% of all jobs in the NHS will require some element of digital skills...All staff will need digital and genomics literacy” 3,5.

By medical students learning even a small amount about digital health, a few individuals may feel “inspired and activated to drive real change, either within their organisation and communities or within [networks such as] OHT”10, [onehealthtech.com](http://onehealthtech.com) . “An activated individual may join a Campaign, start a Hub, create a networking group in their organisation, write a blog.”10

In total 58 medical students were offered inclusion in the study, 32 chose to log into the survey. This is just over half of the available cohort, which risks being non-representative.

Medical students were not separated into year groups. This could information could be collected in follow-on studies. The survey was non-standardised due to lack of standardised questionnaires in this arena. All data was anonymous. No identifiable information was collected. Understanding how much training the students had been through may have explained why some had experienced seeing doctors recommend apps and wearables to patients. However in this study, approximately a third of students who had witnessed this type of patient management but 90% still had not had any supporting education to understand the decisions around why and how the doctors recommended those particular apps. The 2019 Topol review stated “education providers must ensure that students gain an appropriate level of digital literacy at the outset of their study...Lifelong training should be available...with emphasis on continuing support in this rapidly evolving field, including access to dynamic ‘just-in-time’ digital updates” 3 which means all students should have experienced some level of digital health education.

It is estimated over 90% of the worlds population own a mobile phone11 and approximately half of all owners of a smart phone have already downloaded a health app. Health apps increased during Covid, information is still emerging but different sources suggest use increased by 25-60% in the Uk and was much higher in some other countries. The variety of unregulated health apps is vast, the majority in the App Store are not safe enough to be recommended to patients.

Paediatric patients are digital natives and their parents are modelling use of health apps. “Looking at the impact of health technology on the delivery of paediatric care across the last ten years – and it’s use of digital and communication tools that dominate the landscape”5 . As the future generation of paediatricians, medical students who are members of a paediatric society, need urgent and current education in how to recommend digital health apps and wearables.

Conclusion

The vast majority of medical students have not received digital health education as part of their training.

Medical students understand the importance of digital health solutions and consider it an important topic to learn.

In summary, digital health education urgently needs to be added to the medical student curriculum.

Key message

Digital health education is not taught consistently, exposure to digital health solutions in health care settings is patchy. Although a third of students have seen apps and wearables being offered to patients, they have had no education about how to access safe devices or apps and what they should be considering around the safety and risks of digital health. Students are trying to assess apps themselves on the app stores, alongside members of the public who also download multiple health apps, without medical education on the topic, this leads to medical students or new doctors basing their experiences not on evidence and guidance but on ‘what works for me’. Based on the numbers of health apps downloaded, and the increased popularity of health apps during the Covid pandemic, doctors are extremely likely to see patients who have downloaded health apps some of which may be unsafe or unsuitable: The doctors of today need to be digitally literate3,4,5 to best advise and help their patients navigate the best solutions for their health.

Despite digital health being mentioned regularly by government3, NHS organisations2, royal colleges5 and advisory groups4, there is a gap between the education of staff1 and medical students.
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