Learning from Deaths Policy 4.0

Document Type:	Policy		
Document no:	290		
Document Owner:	Dr David Vickers – Medical Director Liz Webb - Deputy Chief Nurse		
Document Service:	Quality Team		
Scope:	Trust wide		
Standards, legislation & key related documents:	• National Quality Board – National Guidance on Learning from Deaths March 2017 • **Medical examiners** • NICE guidelines [NG31] Published date: December 2015 • This document supports Care Quality Commission Fundamentals of Care standards http://www.cqc.org.uk/content/fundamental-standards Patient Safey Incident Response Policy and Plan • Standards of Care for people living with HIV 2018 • NHSI Implementing the Learning from Deaths framework: key requirements for trust boards • Learning from lives and deaths – People with a learning disability and autistic people (LeDeR) policy 2021 • End of Life Care Guidance • Verification of adult death policy		
Approved by:	Trust Board		
Date approved:	August 2022	**Review date:**	March 2025
Financial Implications:	Where a document has any financial implications on the Trust, the Local Counter Fraud Specialist (LCFS) has the authority to investigate and challenge this document in regards to current fraud and bribery legislation and to ensure appropriate counter fraud measures are in place. LCFS contact details are available on the Trust's Intranet.		
Equality & Diversity Impact:	 Equality & Diversity Impact Assessment Completed with no negative impacts		
Trust Values	This policy has been developed to ensure it aligns with our Trust values of honesty, empathy, ambition, and respect.		
Diversity & Inclusion Statement	Cambridgeshire Community Services NHS Trust will ensure that this policy is applied in a fair and reasonable manner that does not discriminate on such grounds as age, disability, gender reassignment, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion and belief, sex & sexual orientation.		
Keywords:	End of life, deceased, mortality, Learning, death		

This is a controlled document. Whilst it may be printed, the electronic version on the Trust's Intranet is the controlled copy. Any printed copies are not controlled.

VERSION CONTROL SUMMARY			
VERSION	**SECTION REFERENCE**	**DESCRIPTION OF CHANGE**	**DATE APPROVED**
1.0	N/A	First issue	September 2017
2.0	All	Multiple revisions. Introduction of a distinction in process for expected and unexpected deaths.	March 2019
3.0	1.1	Added autism	August 2022
	1.4	Reviewed the date	August 2022
	2.1	Revised and removed reference to 10% sampling in expected deaths of adults	August 2022
	3.4	Added Clinical Leaders and Managers	August 2022
	5.2	Added Child Safeguarding Review	August 2022
	6.1	Added use of SJR form in appendix 4 for iCaSH	August 2022
	12.00	New Reference added	August 2022
	Appendix 2	Updated and replaced	August 2022
	Appendix 4	SJR for iCaSH	August 2022
4.0	ALL	Full review Sections updated as follows: 1.0 Introduction and purpose 4.2 Our involvement with CDOP 2.0 Medical examiners 6.2 Luton data capture	September 2023

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Purpose of Document

The purpose of this document is to articulatethe review process and dissemination of learning that the Trust will undertake when one of our patients die Mortality review is defined as a means of identifying problems in healthcare and identifying areas of care which could be improved such as early recognition and escalation of the deteriorating patient, and provision of appropriate and timely end of life care.

This Policy sets out how as a Community Trust, without in-patient beds the Trust will review deaths and describe our Learning from Deaths process; what the criteria is for review; how this will be reported and a commitment to provide quarterly reports from the Learning from Deaths Group to the Quality Improvement and Safety Committee and the Trust Board.

This policy is about reflective learning from deaths that are considered within the remit of this policy. If a practitioner has immediate concerns regarding a patient death that was known to our service this should be escalated immediately to their line manager.

Includes:

- Adults (aged over 18) dies while under our care.
- Child deaths while under our care .
- Cases of adults with identified Learning Disabilities and autism (Definition appendix 2).

1.1.1 Involving Families & Carers

1.1.2 The involvement of carers and familiy members in the review process is vital and should be actively included in the review processes described in this policy.

1.2 Patient Safety and Involvement Partners

1.2.1 The Trust Patient Safety Partners may also be included in the process where a lay persons insight is needed.

Reviews also often highlight aspects of excellent care, and it is important that learning from both areas of excellence, as well as those in need of improvement, is shared across the Trust.

1.2.2 Learning from the deaths of people in our care can help the Trust improve the quality of the care we provide to patients and their families, and identify where this care could be improved.

1.2.3 'Learning, candour and accountability: a review of the way Trust's review and investigate the deaths of patients in England' (A CQC review in December 2016) found that some providers were not giving learning from deaths sufficient priority and so were missing valuable opportunities to identify and make improvements in quality of care. In March 2017, the National Quality Board (NQB) introduced new guidance for NHS providers on how they should learn from the deaths of people in their care.

1.2.4 This National Guidance required Trusts to:

- Have a Leaning from Deaths Policy approved and published by the end of September 2017 reflecting the guidance and setting out how the Trust responds to and learns from, deaths of patients who die under its management and care and includes deaths of individuals with a learning disability and children.
- Publish information on deaths, reviews and investigations via an agenda item and paper to public Board meetings.
- Have a considered approach to the engagement of families and carers in the mortality review process.
- Publish evidence of learning and actions taken as a result of the mortality review and learning from deaths process in the Trust's annual Quality Accounts.

2.0 OBJECTIVES

2.1

- To ensure that as a Community Trust we have in place a process for the monitoring of deaths that meet the criteria in 4.3 where an existing process is not already in place.
- To review learning from reviews of child deaths under our care (e.g. CDOP Child Death Process; Learning Disability).
  The Trust will also review all of the expected deaths in our community adult service.
- To ensure there is oversight and scrutiny of these deaths and that where identified lessons are learned and practice improved.
- To provide a clear process for obtaining information about deaths that is accurate and relevant to the Trust's Learning from Deaths process.
- To ensure that information provided quarterly can inform learning from an overview of deaths within the Trust and support changes to practice.
- To provide assurance to the Trust Board that any avoidable deaths or where problems in care are identified and where the Trust was in a position to influence the outcome brings about a change in practice.
- To provide an annual report based on quarterly reporting to the Quality Improvement and Safety Committee.
- Work with local Medical Examiners and Coroners as required, taking forward actions and learning to improve care.
- To ensure the Trust meets with national best practice guidance – National Guidance on Learning from Deaths (03/17) where appropriate.
- To support external reporting as required under NHS Guidelines.

3.0 DUTIES, ROLES & RESPONSIBILITIES

3.1 **The Board**

The Trust's Executive Director (Medical Director) has responsibility within the Board of Directors for ensuring that the Trust has in place a robust process for Mortality Review. The Learning from Deaths Review Group supports this work and has oversight of cases that require a more in depth review and monitors any trends identified via data collation. NHSI guidance (July 2017) states that the Board has responsibility to ensure that the following takes place:

- Robust systems are developed for recognising, reporting and reviewing or investigating deaths where appropriate
- Teams learn from problems identified in healthcare provided from reviewing different sources of information
- Effective, sustainable action is taken where key issues are identified
- Provision of visible, effective leadership to support staff to improve
- Ensure that needs and views of patients and the public are central to how the Trust operates.

3.2 **Non-Executive Members.**
Non-Executive members of the Board have a particular role to be curious about the Trust's approach to delivery of healthcare, constructively challenge where improvements can be made and promote a culture of learning. A number of lines of enquiry for Non-Executive Directors are highlighted in the NHSI guidance (P14).

3.3 **Quality Improvement and Safety Committee**
The Quality Improvement and Safety Committee receives a quarterly report from the Learning from Deaths Review Group as well as an Annual Report which identifies learning and trends. The Chair of this committee is the nominated Non-Executive Director providing on-executive leadership and gives assurance to the Trust Board that the Trust is meeting its obligations and reports any areas of concern.

3.4 **Clinical Leaders and Managers**
Managers are required to understand the Trust's responsibilities in relation to Learning from Deaths and support the collation of this information and address actions identified through this process that will lead to improved patient care. They must make themselves aware of the relevant policies and guidance to ensure that all staff within their teams have access to:

- The appropriate means of recording a death that falls within the scope of the Trust's Learning from Deaths process (table 4.3) and understanding of how to ensure this data is captured. This is via DATIX.
- Additional relevant training that is service specific.
- Clinical Supervision and informal and formal support.

3.5 **Clinical Staff**
All staff members are required to draw to the attention of their line manager any death that meets the criteria of this policy and to complete relevant electronic paperwork (DATIX) and take part in any review of the case to ensure any required improvement in practice is identified.

3.5.1 Staff are also required to participate fully in the review process of expected deaths and use the reflective discussion as an opportunity to learn and improve practice.

4.0 SCOPE OF POLICY

4.1 **Deaths that may highlight problems in care (table 4.3)**
As a Community Trust, without in-patient units the Trust has taken the view that it will investigate all deaths in services that meet the criteria described in **table in 4.3**. These are deaths where working with bereaved families and learning from any identified problems in care will improve practice.

4.1.1 *For the purpose of this policy expected death is defined as death following on from a period of illness which has been identified as terminal, and where no active intervention to prolong life is on- going.*

4.2 **Deaths in under 18's (Children)**

4.2.1 Infant or child (under 18) death reviews are mandatory and must be undertaken in accordance with Working Together to Safeguard Children (2018) via Child Death Overview panels (*CDOP), (see Standard Operating Procedure- Child Death Overview Panel process).* Where a child's death is unexpected and any of our services were involved a DATIX report should be completed and a Patient Safety Incident Investigation *(Patient Safety Incident Response Policy and Plan)* undertaken.

4.2.2 In addition, quarterly reviews of expected deaths where our children's community nursing teams are involved will be completed for the purpose of learning. The Learning from Deaths Review Group will discuss the local service reports and recommendations from the Child Death Overview Panels to ensure any learning is shared within the Trust.

4.3 **Deaths in over 18's that require use of the screening tool and review.**

4.3.1 For the purpose of this Policy the deaths that fall under the remit of the Trust's Learning from Deaths policy are those deaths where the client has been seen in the past month where any of the following criteria apply:

- Unexpected death: (A death can be described as Unexpected if it was not anticipated to occur in the timeframe in which the individual died.

4.3.2 The following gives a definition of this:

a. Cause of death is unknown.
b. Death was violent or unnatural.
c. Death was sudden and unexplained).
d. Cause of death is unknown.
e. Death was violent or unnatural.
f. Death was sudden and unexplained).

- There has been a complaint by the deceased's family either internally through the Trust complaint process or externally.
- There was concern raised by staff about patient care.
- Deaths where bereaved families have expressed a concern.
- Deaths in any service area where concerns have previously been raised (e.g. through audit or CQC inspection).
- Deaths in patients with a learning disability.
- Deaths in patients with HIV.
- Any death where concern has been expressed about the quality of care delivered by the Trust including adult safeguarding concerns.
- Any death occurring during delivery of care in a The Trust clinical setting (i.e. a patient dies in one of our clinical settings).
- Deaths declared as a Patient Safety Incident Investigation PSI Iby the Trust.

4.3.3 **Cases referred to a Coroner will be considered on an individual basis by review from the Medical Director and Chief Nurse.**

5.0 OTHER REVIEWS

5.1 Staff should be aware that there are other reviews into both child and adult deaths that can take place. The purpose of this policy is not to replace those existing processes but to ensure

those cases which are not reviewed externally or which are but require attention by our own organisation are captured and reported as required.

5.2 The following may be used, due to the circumstances, to review a child or adult death to identify learning:

- The Coroner.
- Child Death Overview Panel (CDOP).
- Serious Case Review (SCR)/ Child Safeguarding Practice Review (CSPR).
- Safeguarding Adult Review (SAR).
- Learning Disabilities Mortality Review (LeDeR) process. The Trust will participate actively in such cases as required and discuss at our Learning from Deaths Group.

6.0 REPORTING & RECORDING

6.1 *Initial screening of information:* **Deaths that meet the criteria in 4.3**

6.1.1 Staff and Managers are required to report via DATIX any death that has raised concerns. The criteria described in 4.3 and detailed in Appendix 1 can be used to aid discussion and reporting. Any complaint or concern received by the Trust where death was the outcome should also be reported.

6.1.2 A multi-professional discussion should take place (via a panel) to agree whether the death requires further investigation using a PSII approach. Terms of Reference and a lead investigator should be appointed as per the Trust Investigations policy.

6.1.3 The family should be included in the process as appropriate at all stages, and kept informed by the lead investigator. Within our Integrated Contraception and Sexual Health service, deaths of people who die and are HIV positive will in the first instance be reviewed using the Structured Judgesment review (SJR) in appendix 4. If needed these will progress to a PSII review.

6.2 **Expected Deaths case review process (Luton Adults)**

6.2.1 Data, generated from SystmOne, to be obtained by the Trust's Informatics Team and include patient deaths that occur in the review period for those patients who had open episodes of care with CCS Luton Adults Unit at the time of their death (excluding phlebotomy and At Home First). Patients who were not under the care of a CCS clinical team at the time of their death are excluded from the review.

6.2.2 NHS Numbers to be used to access SystmOne records. For each patient record, the following information is reviewed:

- Died under the care of CCS Luton Adult Unit (Y/N).
- Age.
- Gender.
- Ethnicity.
- Electronic Palliative Care Coordinating Systems (EPaCCS) template.

6.2.3 Review (if in place) the Electronic Palliative Care Coordinating Systems (EPaCCS) template or the records to identify:

- Preferred place of death (PPD).
- Any end-of-life planning that is in place.
- Actual place of death.
- Reason PPD not met.
- Check for feedback or complaints received.

6.2.4 Sample 10% of the patient records and complete a record review. The purpose is to identify good practice and parcatice that requires improvement.

7.0 FAMILY/ CARER INVOLVEMENT

7.1 The Trust will actively promote and work with staff to enable them to fully engage with the family, where appropriate, when a family member has died whilst receiving care to ensure that they are able to contribute to the Safety Review process as an equal partner.

7.2 The approach that is expected from staff includes the following:

- Adopting an open and honest approach including early apology.
- Include the family/ carers in all appropriate aspects of the investigation including setting the terms of reference and explain the purpose of the investigation i.e. to identify learning so that improvements can be made.
- Keep the family/ carers informed throughout the process.
- Offer the opportunity for the family/ carers to ask questions, raise concerns and provide evidence.
- Ensure that a coordinated approach is undertaken if the investigation involves a number of agencies.

8.0 LEARNING FROM DEATHS REVIEW GROUP

8.1 This group is responsible for the oversight of all aspects of Mortality review including initial data, the outcome of the initial screening process and any investigations undertaken. This group will meet quarterly to ensure timely review of data and learning and reports to the Quality Improvement and Safety Committee and chaired by the Medical Director.

The group will receive reports and relevant case studies and review both learning for improvement and but also seek to hear and share good practice in end of care.

9.0 LEARNING FROM DEATHS REVIEW FORM

9.1 The Learning from Deaths Review checklist in Appendix 1 can be used to help shape decision making in regards to whether the death fits with the criteria of the Learning from Deaths Policy and, is to be used in all cases where the criteria at 4.3 is met. If use of the form identifies ‘Yes’ to any questions then an RCA needs to be initiated and the Trust’s Safeguarding Adult Lead and Safety Team are made aware.

10.0 MONITORING AND AUDIT

10.1 An audit of the use of this policy and of actions taken to address identified areas of practise requiring improvement should be added to the Trust’s annual audit plan.

10.2 To demonstrate a robust internal system of control and the adoption of a proactive approach to the review of those deaths that fit with this policies criteria. Governance for this process will be as follows:

- Quarterly meetings of the Learning from Deaths Review Group act to ensure the process of reporting and subsequent activity is imbedded within the organisation.
- Staff use DATIX- incident reporting system to ensure near misses/ significant events are brought to the attention of the organisation and can be escalated where appropriate.
- Reports to Quality Improvement & Safety Committee annually highlighting themes, trends gaps and learning.
- Report good practice and patient stories as applicable.
- Participation in statutory regulatory inspections e.g. CQC.

11.0 TRAINING AND COMPETENCY

11.1 The Deputy Chief Nurse will take a lead for the application of this policy and ensure staff required to use the screening tool are supported in its use. The local, Heads of Services will be appointed the lead investigator in any review and will ensure that Learning from Deaths is integrated into care and meeting agendas. Regular review of how well the tool is being used and the process and quality of reporting will be undertaken via audit.

12.0 REFERENCES

12.1

- Working Together to Safeguard Children (2018) HM Government
- Learning Disabilities Mortality Review (LeDeR) Programme (2017)
- National Guidance on Learning from Deaths – National Quality Board 1st Edition, (March 2017)
- Implementing the Learning from Deaths Framework: Key Requirements For Trust Boards -NHS Improvement (July 2017)
- Learning From Deaths- Guidance for NHS Trusts on Working With Bereaved Families and Carers (July 2018)
- Standards of Care for People Living with HIV 2018
- Learning From Lives and Deaths – People With a Learning Disability and Autistic People (LeDeR) Policy 2021

Appendix 1: Learning from Deaths Review Checklist

Learning from Deaths Tool Review Checklist To be submitted to Safety Team at The Trust for inclusion on Datix when an RCA has been initiated under the Learning from Deaths Review Policy	
Area where incident occurred	
Cambridgeshire	
Peterborough	
Bedfordshire	
Luton	
Norfolk	
Suffolk	
Type of review being undertaken	
Unexpected death as per 4.1 of the Learning from Deaths Policy. The following gives a definition of this: • cause of death is unknown • death was violent or unnatural • death was sudden and unexplained	
There has been a complaint by the deceased's family either internally through the The Trust complaint process or externally	
There was concern previously raised by staff about patient care	
Concerns had been raised about The Trust	
Deaths where bereaved families have expressed a concern	
Deaths in any service area where concerns have previously been raised (e.g. through audit or CQC inspection)	
Deaths in patients with a learning disability	
Any death where concern has been expressed about the quality of care delivered by The Trust including adult safeguarding concerns	
Any death occurring during delivery of care in a Trust clinical setting	
Deaths declared as an Serious Incident by The Trust	
Those cases referred to a Coroner will be considered on an individual basis.	
Details of review	
The Trust Lead Reviewer	
NHS number (or unique identifier)	
Incident date	
Incident description	
Action taken	
Anticipated date of The Trust RCA report	
The Trust RCA Report Author	
To be completed by Safety Team and returned to contact	
Datix reference	
Date added to Datix	

Appendix 2

LeDeR is a service improvement programme for people with a learning disability and autistic people.

LeDeR aims to ensure that reviews of deaths lead to reflective learning which will result in improved health and social care service delivery. LeDeR's aim is to embed learning from the reviews of deaths of people with learning disabilities and Autism into local structures to ensure their continuation.

Who will be included in the LeDeR review programme?

- Deaths of all people with learning disabilities aged 4 years -17 and will be reviewed as part of the Child Death Over view panels . (changed nationally 2023)

Deaths of all people with learning disabilities aged 18 and over will be reported and reviewed as part of the LeDeR program.

- All autistic people over the age of 18 who have been told by a doctor that they are autistic and had this written in their medical record.

Definitions:

The LeDeR programme uses the following definition of a learning disability:

Individuals with a learning disability (internationally referred to as individuals with an intellectual disability) are those who have:

- a significantly reduced ability to understand new or complex information, to learn new skills (impaired intelligence)

with:

- a significantly reduced ability to cope independently (impaired adaptive and/or social functioning)

and:

- which is apparent before adulthood is reached and has a lasting effect on development.

The National Autistic Society defines Autism as:

'Autism is a lifelong developmental disability which affects how people communicate and interact with the world.'

Reporting a death:

Anyone can report a death of a person with a learning disability or an autistic person.
A death can be reported by the following link https://leder.nhs.uk/report

Learning from lives and deaths – People with a learning disability and autistic people (LeDeR) policy 2021.
The National Autistic Society – What is Autism?

Appendix 3

Structured Judgement Review (SJR) adapted for HIV community care in iCaSH

Inclusion: All patients dying while receiving HIV care within iCaSH
Exclusion: Any of the above patients already being subject to a Serious Incident review/ coroner's inquest/legal process

Aim:
1. To identify good practice and resulting themes/learning points
2. To identify problems in care and resulting themes/learning points
3. To identify overall problematic care

Summary of patient history:

Cause of death:

Learning points:

Comments:

Assessment of problems with health care:

Were there any problems with the care of the patient?

No (Please stop here) Yes (Please proceed)

1. Problem in assessment, investigation, or diagnosis
   No Yes
   Did the problem lead to harm?
   No Uncertain Yes

2. Problem with medication
   No Yes

   Did the problem lead to harm?
   No Uncertain Yes

3. Problem related to management plan
   No Yes

   Did the problem lead to harm?
   No Uncertain Yes

4. Problem with infection management
   No Yes

   Did the problem lead to harm?
   No Uncertain Yes

5. Problem in clinical monitoring (including failure to plan, to undertake, or to recognise and respond to changes)
   No Yes

   Did the problem lead to harm?
   No Uncertain Yes

6. Problem of any other type not fitting the categories above
   No Yes

   Did the problem lead to harm?
   No Uncertain Yes